## **GREAT BEALINGS PARISH COUNCIL**

www.greatbealings.co.uk

Clerk to the Council: Mrs Dee Knights Dunure, 57 Dobbs Lane Kesgrave, Ipswich, IP5 2QE Tel:01473 624240 Email:greatbealingspc@hotmail.co.uk

6th September 2016

Head of Planning and Coastal Management Suffolk Coastal District Council Melton Hill WOODBRIDGE Suffolk IP12 1AU

For the Attention of Katherine Scott

Dear Sirs,

## Wood Barn Cottages: DC/16/3427/PN3

This letter should be read in conjunction with our letter dated 20th July 2016 (a copy of which is appended hereto to ensure that the public record is clear) which confirmed our objections to **DC/16/2777/PN3 and DC/16/2808/PN3**, both of which applications were refused on 26th August.

We confirm that we **object** to the above further application in relation to Barn 'A' on the Wood Barn site. Our overall concerns with the overdevelopment of the Wood Barn site are clearly set out in our earlier letter, and are based not only on SCDC's clear and unambiguous policies with respect to developments in the countryside (as listed in Appendix 6 to the PN Procedure Statement (PNPS) attached to the above proposal) but also on the policies set out in the Great Bealings Neighbourhood Plan (the NP), which has now reached its Independent Examination stage. The NP fully supports SCDC's approach to development in the countryside and if this new application were to be allowed it would be contrary to the primary approach set out in those policies, namely that any development in such a setting should only be, as set out in SP28 and DM3, for replacement dwellings or to meet a special need as set out in those policies. The above application meets none of those criteria.

We note that the PNPS dwells at length on the issue of the barn's structure and whether it meets the permitted development tests set out in the relevant planning guidance. The PNPS ignores the issues of setting and appropriate development in the countryside which, interestingly, are made very clear in the appeal decisions quoted in Appendices 3 and 4 to the PNPS itself. The arguments set out in the PNPS are thus partial, selective, and wrong in the context of this application.

We remain adamantly opposed to the overdevelopment of this site as set out at length in previous letters, specifically that of 20th July.
Yours sincerely
Dee Knights Clerk to Great Bealings Parish Council